

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION,
ECF REGISTRATION AND CONSENT
TO ELECTRONIC SERVICE,
PROPOSED ORDER

YELLOWCAKE, INC., a California
corporation,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:20-cv-00988-DAD-BAM

v.

HYPHY MUSIC, INC.,

Defendant.

I, Seth L. Berman, attorney for Plaintiffs, Yellowcake, Inc. and Colonize Media, Inc.,
hereby petition for admission to practice Pro Hac Vice under the provision of Local Rule
180(b)(2). I understand and consent to ECF Registration and Electronic Service as detailed
below and I have submitted payment in the amount of \$225.00 to the Clerk, U.S. District Court.
In support of this petition, I state under penalty of perjury that:

My business address is:

Firm Name: Abrams, Fensterman, Fensterman, Eisman, Formato, Ferrara, Wolf & Carone, LLP

Address: 3 Dakota Drive, Suite 300

City: Lake Success

State: New York ZIP Code: 11042

Voice Phone: (516) 328-2308

FAX Phone: (516) 328-6638

Internet E-mail: sberman@abramslaw.com

Additional E-mail: _____

I reside in City: Long Beach State: New York

I was admitted to practice in the Appellate Division, Second Department on 2/3/2008, USDC Southern District of New York on 6/25/2010, USDC Eastern District of New York in 6/2010 and Second Circuit Court of Appeals on 2/11/2016. I am presently in good standing and eligible to practice in said court. A certificate of good standing from the court in my state of primary practice is attached to this application. I am not currently suspended or disbarred in any other court.

I have, within the year preceding this application, made a pro hac vice application to this court as follows:

Yellowcake, Inc. v. Platino Records, et al., Case No. 1:20-cv-00796, July 7, 2020, granted.

Yellowcake, Inc. v. Morena Music, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:20-cv-00787, July 8, 2020, granted.

I hereby designate the following member of the Bar of this Court who is registered for ECF with whom the Court and opposing counsel may readily communicate regarding the conduct of the case and upon whom electronic notice shall also be served via the court's ECF system:

Name: Thomas P. Griffin, Jr.

Firm Name: Hefner, Stark & Marois, LLP

Address: 2150 River Plaza Drive, Suite 450

City: Sacramento

State: California ZIP Code: 95833


Voice Phone: (916) 925-6620

FAX Phone: (916) 925-1127

E-mail: tgriffin@hsmlaw.com

Dated: August 19, 2010

Petitioner:


Seth L. Berman

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ECF REGISTRATION AND CONSENT TO ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Beginning January 3, 2005, all cases filed and pending in the Eastern District of California are subject to electronic filing, service (ECF) and electronic case storage procedures (CM). This form shall be used to register for accounts on the Court's Electronic Case File (ECF) system which permits electronic filing.

By submitting this Petition to Appear Pro Hac Vice and ECF Registration Form, I understand:

1. Registration herein is for ECF use only in cases proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California.
2. Each attorney who wishes to appear in the Eastern District pro hac vice must complete and sign an Attorney Registration Form. An attorney's password issued by the court combined with the attorney's identification (login), serves as and constitutes the attorney signature. Therefore, an attorney/participant must protect and secure the password issued by the court. If there is any reason to suspect the password has been compromised in any way, such as resignation or reassignment of the person with authority to use the password, it is the duty and responsibility of the attorney/participant to immediately notify the court. The court will immediately delete the password from the electronic filing system and issue a new password.
3. Unless an attorney expressly declines to consent (see below) registration as a Filing User constitutes: (1) consent to receive service electronically and waiver of the right to receive service by first class mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(D); (2) consent to electronic service and waiver of the right to service by personal service or first class mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(D). **Note: Service of Summons and Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 are not encompassed by electronic service.** Waiver of service and notice by first class mail applies to notice of the entry of an order or judgment. Service by electronic means is complete upon transmission of the Notice of Electronic Filing.
4. A user accesses court information via the court's Internet site or through the Public Access to Court Electronic Records ("PACER") Service Center. **PACER involves a separate, free registration.** Although the court manages the procedures for electronic filing, all electronic public access to case file documents occurs through PACER. A PACER login is required, in addition to, the password issued by the court. To register for PACER, a user must complete the online form or submit a registration form, available on the PACER website (<http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov>).
5. By this registration, I understand that the specific procedures which control electronic filing can be found in the Local Rules and CM/ECF User's Manual, all of which can be accessed on the Court's website. Please periodically access these Rules and Manual in order to understand electronic filing requirements, and any changes which may be later implemented. Serious and/or sustained failure to abide by those procedures may result in a termination of electronic filing privileges which are a prerequisite to practice in the Eastern District.

Notice Regarding Non-Consent to Electronic Service. An attorney may expressly forego consenting to service and receipt of filed documents by electronic service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(D). This decision not to consent must be by separate document in writing addressed to the Office of the Clerk. Parties not consenting to electronic service must serve by the other methods specified in Rule 5.

The court strongly urges that all attorneys consent to serve and receive service of filed documents by means of electronic service. There is no significant downside to such consent and universal participation in electronic service will benefit all concerned. **Failure to consent to electronic service does not relieve attorneys of the obligation to file documents electronically when required to do so or**

otherwise abide by CM/ECF procedures.